

Scoring Summary Sheet
RFP No. PNC2114364P1
Continuing Engineering Services for Transportation and General Civil Engineering Projects
April 10, 2018

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Firm Name	Henry Sniezek	Carlos Puentes	John Foglesong	Barney McCoy	Richard Tornese	Total	Ranking
Atkins North America, Inc.	76	85	88	75	87	411	4
HDR Engineering, Inc.	81	90	90	79	91	431	2
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	87	90	86	73	88	424	3
RS&H, Inc.	84	88	87	86	92	437	1

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA

1. Vendor located within Broward County as set forth in Subsection 21.31.c.
2. Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.
3. Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work, calculated by payments to vendor, by County over a five (5) year period from the date of the submittal.
4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.
5. Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.

Local Preference may not be applied to CCNA or Federally funded/governed procurements DELETE if not applicable

PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's

For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a non-local business is the highest ranked proposer and the ranking of a local proposer is within five percent (5%) of the ranking obtained by the non-local proposer, the highest-ranked local proposer shall have the opportunity to proceed to negotiations with the county.

Scoring Sheet
RFP No. PNC2114364P1
Continuing Engineering Services for Transportation and General Civil Engineering Projects
April 10, 2018

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Atkins North America, Inc.	HDR Engineering, Inc.	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	RS&H, Inc.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 25 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1	10	8	8	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	5	4	5	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	10	9	9	9	9
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	10	8	8	10	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	4	4	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	5	3	4	5	4
EVIDENCE OF KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE (Total Maximum 22 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	10	9	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 8	7	7	6	7	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 9	5	5	5	5	5
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 10 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 10	10	7	9	9	9
QUALITY CONTROL (Total Maximum 8 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 11	8	6	7	7	7
WORKLOAD OF FIRM (Total Maximum 5 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 12	5	4	3	4	4
LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)					
		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 13	5	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)					
		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 14	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK (Total Maximum 3 Points)					
		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 15	3	0	2	2	2
TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING					
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 Hundred	76	81	87	84

Henry Sniezek

Name

Signature

By signing this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

Scoring Sheet
RFP No. PNC2114364P1
Continuing Engineering Services for Transportation and General Civil Engineering Projects
April 10, 2018

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Atkins North America, Inc.	HDR Engineering, Inc.	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	RS&H, Inc.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 25 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1	10	9	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	10	8	9	9	8
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	10	9	10	10	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	5	5	5	5	5
EVIDENCE OF KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE (Total Maximum 22 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	10	9	10	10	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 8	7	6	6	5	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 9	5	5	5	5	5
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 10 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 10	10	10	10	10	10
QUALITY CONTROL (Total Maximum 8 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 11	8	7	7	8	7
WORKLOAD OF FIRM (Total Maximum 5 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 12	5	5	5	5	5
LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 13	5	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 14	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK (Total Maximum 3 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 15	3	0	2	2	2
TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING					
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 Hundred	85	90	90	88

Carlos Puentes

Name

Signature

By signing this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

Scoring Sheet
RFP No. PNC2114364P1
Continuing Engineering Services for Transportation and General Civil Engineering Projects
April 10, 2018

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Atkins North America, Inc.	HDR Engineering, Inc.	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	RS&H, Inc.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 25 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1	10	10	10	9	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	5	5	5	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	10	10	10	10	10
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	10	9	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	5	5	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	5	5	5	5	4
EVIDENCE OF KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE (Total Maximum 22 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	10	9	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 8	7	6	7	7	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 9	5	5	5	5	5
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 10 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 10	10	10	9	9	9
QUALITY CONTROL (Total Maximum 8 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 11	8	7	7	7	7
WORKLOAD OF FIRM (Total Maximum 5 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 12	5	5	5	4	5
LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 13	5	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 14	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK (Total Maximum 3 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 15	3	0	2	2	2
TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING					
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 Hundred	88	90	86	87

John Foglesong

Name

Signature



By signing this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

Scoring Sheet
RFP No. PNC2114364P1
Continuing Engineering Services for Transportation and General Civil Engineering Projects
April 10, 2018

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Atkins North America, Inc.	HDR Engineering, Inc.	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	RS&H, Inc.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 25 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1	10	8	8	8	6
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	10	10	10	10	10
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	10	7	8	7	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	5	4	3	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	5	3	3	3	4
EVIDENCE OF KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE (Total Maximum 22 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	10	8	7	7	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 8	7	4	5	4	6
See Evaluation Criteria - question 9	5	3	4	3	5
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 10 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 10	10	10	10	10	10
QUALITY CONTROL (Total Maximum 8 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 11	8	6	7	5	8
WORKLOAD OF FIRM (Total Maximum 5 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 12	5	4	4	4	4
LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 13	5	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 14	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK (Total Maximum 3 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 15	3	0	2	2	2
TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING					
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 Hundred	75	79	73	86

Barney McCoy

Name



Signature

By signing this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

Scoring Sheet
RFP No. PNC2114364P1
Continuing Engineering Services for Transportation and General Civil Engineering Projects
April 10, 2018

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Atkins North America, Inc.	HDR Engineering, Inc.	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	RS&H, Inc.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 25 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1	10	9	10	10	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	5	5	5	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	10	9	10	10	10
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	10	9	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	5	5	5	5	5
EVIDENCE OF KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE (Total Maximum 22 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	10	10	10	9	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 8	7	6	6	6	6
See Evaluation Criteria - question 9	5	5	4	4	5
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 10 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 10	10	10	10	10	10
QUALITY CONTROL (Total Maximum 8 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 11	8	8	8	8	8
WORKLOAD OF FIRM (Total Maximum 5 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 12	5	4	5	4	5
LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 13	5	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 14	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK (Total Maximum 3 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 15	3	0	2	2	2
TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING					
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 Hundred	87	91	88	92

Richard Tornese

Name

Signature



By signing this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.