

**Scoring Summary Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
R2113758P1**

Professional Architectural and Engineering Services for Reports and Studies

Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Firm Name	Ariadna Musarra	Brad Terrier	Jeff Halsey	Total	Ranking
ACAI Associates, Inc.	84	90	86	260	2
BEA Architects, Inc.	89	82	75	246	3
Walters Zackria Associates, PLLC	99	90	80	269	1

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA

1. Vendor located within Broward County as set forth in Subsection 21.31.c.
2. Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.
3. Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work, calculated by payments to vendor, by County over a five (5) year period from the date of the submittal.
4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.
5. Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.

Local Preference may not be applied to CCNA or Federally funded/governed procurements DELETE if not applicable

PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's

For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a non-local business is the highest ranked proposer and the ranking of a local proposer is within five percent (5%) of the ranking obtained by the non-local proposer, the highest-ranked local proposer shall have the opportunity to proceed to negotiations with the county.

Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP R2113758P1

Professional Architectural and Engineering Services for Reports and Studies
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	MAXIMUM POINTS	ACAI Associates, Inc.	BEA Architects, Inc.	Walters Zackria Associates, PLLC
I. ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points)				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	10	10	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	15	15	15	15
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	10	10	10
II. PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	7	7	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	10	8	8	8
III. PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 30 Points)				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	14	11	14
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	15	13	12	13
IV. WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 5 Points)				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.a	5	4	4	4
V. LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.a	5	5	0	5
VI. WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6.a	2	2	2	2
VII. VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK (Total Maximum 3 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7.a	3	2	3	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred			

Brad Terrier

Name

Signature

Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP R2113758P1

Professional Architectural and Engineering Services for Reports and Studies

Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	MAXIMUM POINTS	ACAI Associates, Inc.	BEA Architects, Inc.	Walters Zackria Associates, PLLC
I. ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points)				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	8	9	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	15	13	14	15
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	10	10	10
II. PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	7	9	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	10	8	8	10
III. PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 30 Points)				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	13	14	15
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	15	12	15	15
IV. WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 5 Points)				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.a	5	4	5	5
V. LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.a	5	5	0	5
VI. WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6.a	2	2	2	2
VII. VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK (Total Maximum 3 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7.a	3	2	3	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING		Max 100 One Hundred		

Ariadna Musarra

Name

 10.12.17

Signature

**Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP R2113758P1**

**Professional Architectural and Engineering Services for Reports and Studies
Date: Thursday, October 12, 2017**

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	MAXIMUM POINTS	ACAI Associates, Inc.	BEA Architects, Inc.	Walters Zackria Associates, PLLC
I. ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points)				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	10	8	8	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	15	13	14	14
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	10	10	10	10
II. PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 20 Points)				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	6	8	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	10	7	5	8
III. PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 30 Points)				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	14	15	12
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	15	14	7	10
IV. WORKLOAD OF THE FIRM (Total Maximum 5 Points)				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.a	5	5	3	4
V. LOCATION (Total Maximum 5 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5.a	5	5	0	5
VI. WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6.a	2	2	2	2
VII. VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK (Total Maximum 3 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7.a	3	2	3	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred			

Jeff Halsey

Name

Signature

