## **Coastal Engineering Consultant Services for Segment III Shore Protection**

Date: August 16, 2017

#### 115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC422, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Firm Name	Dr. Jennifer Jurado	John Crouse	Erik Neugaard	Total	Ranking
Applied Technology & Management, Inc.	72	70	85	227	4
Atkins North America, Inc.	66	62	80	208	6
CB&I Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc. (n/k/a APTIM)	93	79	87	259	2
Coastal Systems International, Inc.	77	69	80	226	5
Gahagan & Bryant Associates, Inc.	86	81	90	257	3
Olsen Associates, Inc.	98	78	95	271	1

#### TIE BREAKER CRITERIA

- 1. Vendor located within Broward County as set forth in Subsection 21.31.c.
- 2. Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.
- 3. Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work, calculated by payments to vendor, by County over a five (5) year period from the date of the submittal.
- 4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.
- 5. Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.

Local Preference may not be applied to CCNA or Federally funded/governed procurements DELETE if not applicable

#### PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's

For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a non-local business is the highest ranked proposer and the ranking of a local proposer is within five percent (5%) of the ranking obtained by the non-local proposer, the highest-ranked local proposer shall have the opportunity to proceed to negotiations with the county.

# Coastal Engineering Consultant Services for Segment III Shore Protection

Date: August 16, 2017

115 S	. Andrews	Avenue, Room	1 GC422, Foi	rt Lauderdale, F	L 33301	<del>-                                    </del>	
Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Applied Technology & Management, Inc.	Atkins North America, Inc.	CB&i Environmental & Infrastructure, inc. (n/k/a APTIM)	Coastal Systems International, Inc.	Gahagan & Bryant Associates, Inc.	Olsen Associates, Inc
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 30 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	5	4	7	5	4	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	<u></u>	r	9	8	9	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	5	2	7	5	4	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.d	10	ſ	$\cap$	9	75	7	10
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 37 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	6	<u></u>	9	<u>フ</u>	8	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	10	5	7	9	5	9	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	10	8	7	9	8	9	9_
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	.3	2	5	3	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2e	2	Z	1	Z	2	<b>ラ</b>	2_
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 23 Points)					•••		
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	12	10	13	12	1/	15
See Evatuation Criteria - question 3.b	5	4	4	5	4	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	3	7	Z	3	Z_	3	3
WORKLOAD OF FIRM (Total Maximum 5 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	.5	5	5	5	5
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK (Total Maximum 3 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	3	3	0	3	3	3	2
LOCATION (Not Applicable To This Solicitation)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED B PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	100 One Hundred	72	66	93	77	86	98

Dr. Jennifer Jurado

Jud Ala

By signing this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

## Coastal Engineering Consultant Services for Segment III Shore Protection

Date: August 16, 2017

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Applied Technology & Management, Inc.	Atkins North America, Inc.	CB&I Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc. (n/k/a APTIM)	Coastal Systems International, Inc.	Gahagan & Bryant Associates, Inc.	Olsen Associates, Inc
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 30 Points)	Se pr	577 - 11	120,180	(111100 211 1111)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	5	3	3	4	4	4	3
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	6	6	8	6	8	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	5	3	3	4	3	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.d	10	7	5	8	4	8	8
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 37 Points)			and the				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	5	6	8	7	7	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	10	6	7	8	8	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	10	9	6	7	7	8	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	3	3	4	3	4	3
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2e	2	1	2	2	i	2	2
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 23 Points)		ng signates					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	14	12	13	14	13	13
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	5	3	3	3	3	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	3	i	i	2	1	2	2
WORKLOAD OF FIRM (Total Maximum 5 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	4	3	3	3	3	4
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK (Total Maximum 3 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	3	3	0	3	3	3	2
LOCATION (Not Applicable To This Solicitation)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
<b>计划的转形设置</b>		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED E PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	100 One Hundred	70	62	79	69	81	78

John Crouse

Name

Signature

By signing this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

## Coastal Engineering Consultant Services for Segment III Shore Protection

Date: August 16, 2017

115 S	. Andrew	s Avenue, Rooi	m GC422, Fo	ort Lauderdale, F	L 33301		
Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Applied Technology & Management, Inc.	Atkins North America, Inc.	CB&I Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc. (n/k/a APTIM)	Coastal Systems International, Inc.	Gahagan & Bryant Associates, Inc.	Olsen Associates, inc
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 30 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	5	4	4	4	4	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	10	3	q	9	g	9	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	5	3	3	4	3	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.d	10	B	8	g	g	9	10
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum 37 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	9	Е	9	9	8	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	10	8	9	9	9	10	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	10	10	9	0	8	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	4	4	4	4	Ч	1 4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2e	2	2	2	2	2	1	2
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 23 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	13	12	13	13	13	14
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	5	3	4	4	4	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.c	3	3	3	3	<u> </u>	3	3
WORKLOAD OF FIRM (Total Maximum 5 Points)							
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	4	5	2	5	5
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK (Total Maximum 3 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	PÓINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	3	3	0	3	3	3	2
LOCATION (Not Applicable To This Solicitation)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS (Total Maximum 2 Points)		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	PCINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	100 One Hundred	85	80	87	80	90	95

**Erik Neugaard** 

Name

Signaturo

By signing this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.