

**Scoring Summary Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
R2111778P1**

Architectural and Engineering Services for Works of a Specified Nature

August 8, 2017

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Firm Name	Brad Terrier	Jeffrey Thompson	Carlos Hernandez	Total	Ranking
ACAI Associates, Inc.	89	82	86	257	4
Cartaya and Associates Architects, P.A.	92	96	95	283	1
Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC	95	95	90	280	2
Walters Zackria Associates, PLLC	93	85	82	260	3

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA

1. Vendor located within Broward County as set forth in Subsection 21.31.c.
2. Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.
3. Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work, calculated by payments to vendor, by County over a five (5) year period from the date of the submittal.
4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.
5. Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.

Local Preference may not be applied to CCNA or Federally funded/governed procurements DELETE if not applicable

PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's

For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a non-local business is the highest ranked proposer and the ranking of a local proposer is within five percent (5%) of the ranking obtained by the non-local proposer, the highest-ranked local proposer shall have the opportunity to proceed to negotiations with the county.

Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP R2111778P1

Architectural and Engineering Services for Works of a Specified Nature
August 8, 2017

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	MAXIMUM POINTS	ACAI Associates, Inc.	Cartaya and Associates Architects, P.A.	Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC	Walters Zackria Associates, PLLC
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 43 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	8	8	8	8	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	17	17	17	17	17
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	8	8	8	8	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.d	10	10	10	10	10
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 36 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	8	9	9	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	18	15	17	17	17
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	8	5	5	7	7
LEED EXPERIENCE AND KNOWLEDGE					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	3	4 ^{2nd}	4 ^{2nd}	3 ^{2nd}	3 ^{2nd}
BIM CAPABILITIES AND EXPERIENCE					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	3	3	3	2	2
WORKLOAD OF FIRM					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	4	4	5	5
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	5	5	5	5	5
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 8	3	2	2	3	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	89	92	95	93

Brad Terrier

Name

Brad Terrier

Signature

REF

MM

Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP R2111778P1

Architectural and Engineering Services for Works of a Specified Nature
August 8, 2017

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	MAXIMUM POINTS	ACAI Associates, Inc.	Cartaya and Associates Architects, P.A.	Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC	Walters Zackria Associates, PLLC
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 43 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	8	7	8	8	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	17	13	14	15	14
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	8	7	8	8	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.d	10	8	10	10	9
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 36 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	7	9	9	8
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	18	15	17	17	15
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	8	7	8	8	7
LEED EXPERIENCE AND KNOWLEDGE					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	3	2	3	3	3
BIM CAPABILITIES AND EXPERIENCE					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	3	3	3	2	2
WORKLOAD OF FIRM					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	4	5	5	4
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	5	5	5	5	5
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 8	3	2	2	3	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	82	96	95	85

Jeffrey Thompson

Name

Signature

MM

Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP R2111778P1

Architectural and Engineering Services for Works of a Specified Nature
August 8, 2017


115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	MAXIMUM POINTS	ACAI Associates, Inc.	Cartaya and Associates Architects, P.A.	Synalovski Romanik Saye, LLC	Walters Zackria Associates, PLLC
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 43 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	8	8	7	6	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	17	12	16	12	12
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.c	8	5	8	7	7
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.d	10	9	10	8	9
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum 36 Points)					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	10	10	10	10	10
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	18	18	18	18	16
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	8	5	6	8	5
LEED EXPERIENCE AND KNOWLEDGE					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3	3	2	3	3	3
BIM CAPABILITIES AND EXPERIENCE					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	3	3	3	3	3
WORKLOAD OF FIRM					
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	5	5	5	3
LOCATION		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	5	5	5	5	5
WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	2	2	2	2	2
VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 8	3	2	2	3	2
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred	86	95	90	82

Carlos Hernandez

Name

Signature

 08/08/2017



mm