

**Scoring Sheet**  
**Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting**  
**RFP No. R2114326P1**  
**Architectural and Engineering Services for Lauderhill Mall New Transit Center**

**August 3, 2017**

**115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301**


Firm Name	Ariadna Musarra	Brad Terrier	Barney McCoy	Total	Ranking
ACAI Associates, Inc.	88	94	70	252	3
BEA Architects, Inc.	96	91	74	261	2
Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc.	90	87	89	266	1

**TIE BREAKER CRITERIA**


1. ~~Vendor located within Broward County as set forth in Subsection 21.31.c. (N/A)~~
2. ~~Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits. (N/A)~~
3. Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work, calculated by payments to vendor, by County over a five (5) year period from the date of the submittal.
4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.
5. Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.

**Local Preference may not be applied to CCNA or Federally funded/governed procurements.**

**Scoring Sheet**  
**Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting**  
**RFP No. R2114326P1**  
**Architectural and Engineering Services for Lauderhill Mall New Transit Center**  
**August 3, 2017**

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301				
Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	MAXIMUM POINTS	ACAI Associates, Inc.	BEA Architects, Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc.
<b>1. ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL</b> (Total Maximum 30 Points)				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.A.	<b>20</b>	19	19	18
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.B.	<b>10</b>	10	10	10
<b>2. PROJECT APPROACH</b>				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	<b>10</b>	7	9	9
<b>3. PAST PERFORMANCE</b>				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.A.	<b>20</b>	19	19	19
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.B.	<b>10</b>	8	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.C.	<b>5</b>	5	5	4
<b>4. SPECIALIZED EXPERIENCE, KNOWLEDGE AND CAPABILITIES:</b>				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.A.	<b>5</b>	3	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.B.	<b>10</b>	10	10	8
<b>5. WORKLOAD OF FIRM</b>				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	<b>5</b>	3	5	4
<b>6. WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS</b>		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	<b>2</b>			
<b>7. VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK</b>		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	<b>3</b>			
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred			
<b>Ariadna Musarra</b>				
Name				
				
Signature				
By signing this document I certify that I have abided by the Code of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement				

**Scoring Sheet**  
**Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting**  
**RFP No. R2114326P1**  
**Architectural and Engineering Services for Lauderhill Mall New Transit Center**  
**August 3, 2017**

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301				
Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	MAXIMUM POINTS	ACAI Associates, Inc.	BEA Architects, Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc.
<b>1. ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL</b> (Total Maximum 30 Points)				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.A.	<b>20</b>	20	20	20
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.B.	<b>10</b>	10	10	10
<b>2. PROJECT APPROACH</b>				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	<b>10</b>	9	9	8
<b>3. PAST PERFORMANCE</b>				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.A.	<b>20</b>	17	15	14
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.B.	<b>10</b>	9	9	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.C.	<b>5</b>	5	5	4
<b>4. SPECIALIZED EXPERIENCE, KNOWLEDGE AND CAPABILITIES:</b>				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.A.	<b>5</b>	5	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.B.	<b>10</b>	10	8	9
<b>5. WORKLOAD OF FIRM</b>				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	<b>5</b>	5	5	4
<b>6. WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS</b>		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	<b>2</b>			
<b>7. VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK</b>		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	<b>3</b>			
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING	Max 100 One Hundred			
<b>Brad Terrier</b>				
Name				
				
Signature				
By signing this document I certify that I have abided by the Code of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.				



**Scoring Sheet**  
**Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting**  
**RFP No. R2114326P1**  
**Architectural and Engineering Services for Lauderhill Mall New Transit Center**  
**August 3, 2017**

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC430, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301				
Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	MAXIMUM POINTS	ACAI Associates, Inc.	BEA Architects, Inc.	Saltz Michelson Architects, Inc.
<b>1. ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL</b> (Total Maximum 30 Points)				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.A.	20	14	14	16
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.B.	10	7	7	8
<b>2. PROJECT APPROACH</b>				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2	10	7	5	9
<b>3. PAST PERFORMANCE</b>				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.A.	20	15	18	<del>15</del> 18
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.B.	10	7	8	9
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.C.	5	0	0	5
<b>4. SPECIALIZED EXPERIENCE, KNOWLEDGE AND CAPABILITIES:</b>				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.A.	5	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4.B.	10	6	<del>7</del> 7	9/10
<b>5. WORKLOAD OF FIRM</b>				
See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	5	5	5
<b>6. WILLINGNESS TO MEET TIME AND BUDGET REQUIREMENTS</b>		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 6	2			
<b>7. VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK</b>		POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING	POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	3			
		TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING	TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING
TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING		Max 100 One Hundred		
<b>Barney McCoy</b>				
Name				
Signature				
By signing this document I certify that I have abided by the Code of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.				