

TO: Tanisha Boynton, Purchasing Agent Purchasing Division FROM: Jeff Turpin, Interim Director Solid Waste and Recycling Services SUBJECT: Solicitation No.: Florida Sheriff's Association Contract No. FSA16-VEH14.0 Cab & Chassis Trucks and Other Fleet Equipment Recommended Vendor: Kelly Tractor Company Recommended Group(s)/Line Item(s): 80,000 lb. Landfill Compactor Specification No. 62, 2017 Caterpillar 826 Initial Award Amount: \$672,399.00 Potential Total Amount: \$672,399.00 Contract Term, including Renewals: Fixed Purchase Initial Contract Term: Fixed Purchase **CONCURRENCE:** The agency has reviewed Vendor's response(s) for specification compliance and Vendor responsibility. I Mave reviewed all documents including the Vendor Questionnaire and after careful evaluation, I concur with recommendation for award to the Vendor. FINANCIAL BACKGROUND/D & B REPORT: (check one) □ I am satisfied with the Vendor's financial background and/or rating and payment performance. Not applicable Utilizing Florida Sheriffs Association Contract No. FSA16-VEH14.0 LITIGATION HISTORY: (check one) ☑ I have reviewed the Litigation History Form and there is no issue of concern. Refer to additional information from the Office of the County Attorney to address an issue/concern. PAST PERFORMANCE: (check all that apply) I have reviewed the Vendor's past Performance Evaluations in Contracts Central and: Vendor received an overall rating ≥ 2.59 on all evaluations. No evaluations within the past three years contained any items rated a score of 2 or less. \square Vendor received a rating \leq 2.59 on an evaluation(s). Refer to additional information. \square Vendor received a score of ≤ 2 on an individual item(s). Refer to additional information. Past evaluations are not relevant to the scope of this contract. No past Performance Evaluations exist in Contracts Central. AND Reference Verification Forms are attached. OR Reference Verification Forms are not required: Commodity only purchase (less than \$250,000); Service less than \$50,000 and the Vendor has a Performance Evaluation within the past three years. **NON-CONCURRENCE:** I do not concur. Detailed reason for non-concurrence is attached. Interm Director TYPED NAME OF SIGNER: Jeff Turpin TITLE: (Individual authorized to administer the contract.) 7/4/17 DATE: SIGNATURE:



Vendor Reference Verification Form

Broward County Solicitation No. and Titl										
Chassis Trucks and Other Fleet Equipment (Piggyback) Reference for: (Name of Firm) Kelly Tractor Company										
Organization/Firm Name providing reference: Miami-Dade County										
Contact Name/Title: Tony Abascal, Fleet Maintenance Coordinator										
Contact F-mail: Antonio.Abascal@miamidade.gov										
Contact Phone: (305) 514-6331										
Name of Referenced Project: Landfill C	ompactor									
Contract No. 8535-5/13 Various Off-Ro		Heavy Equipme	nt							
Contract Amount: \$844,965	aa Larrami ara i	loavy Equipmo								
Date Services Provided: 2013 - current	•									
	nge or date serv	vices began unti	l "current")							
Vendor's role in Project: ☐ Prime Ver	ndor 🗆 Sub-	consultant/Sub-	contractor							
Would you use this vendor again? ⊠ Ye				onal Comments (below).						
Description of services provided by V										
They currently provide service and m		approximately	40 pieces of	various equipment in						
the department of Solid Waste.										
Please rate your experience with the referenced Vendor:	Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Excellent	Not Applicable						
1 Variable 1 Occality of Occasion	3.5									
1. Vendor's Quality of Service										
.4	П	П	\bowtie	П						
a. Responsive										
a. Responsive b. Accuracy			\boxtimes							
a. Responsiveb. Accuracyc. Deliverables										
a. Responsiveb. Accuracyc. Deliverables2. Vendor's Organization			\boxtimes							
a. Responsiveb. Accuracyc. Deliverables2. Vendor's Organizationa. Staff expertise										
a. Responsiveb. Accuracyc. Deliverables2. Vendor's Organizationa. Staff expertiseb. Professionalism										
 a. Responsive b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 										
a. Responsive b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of:										
a. Responsive b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of: a. Project										
a. Responsive b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of:										
a. Responsive b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of: a. Project	 	f needed)								
a. Responsive b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of: a. Project b. Deliverables Additional Comments: (provide on ad Full service facility, from cleaning to response to the service of the servic	epairs. Will acc	cept trade-ins o	⊠ ⊠ ⊠ ⊠ on new equip							
a. Responsive b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of: a. Project b. Deliverables Additional Comments: (provide on ad	epairs. Will acc	cept trade-ins o	⊠ ⊠ ⊠ ⊠ on new equip							
a. Responsive b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of: a. Project b. Deliverables Additional Comments: (provide on ad Full service facility, from cleaning to response to the service of the servic	epairs. Will acc	cept trade-ins o	⊠ ⊠ ⊠ ⊠ on new equip							
a. Responsive b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of: a. Project b. Deliverables Additional Comments: (provide on ad Full service facility, from cleaning to response to the service of the servic	epairs. Will acc	cept trade-ins o	⊠ ⊠ ⊠ ⊠ on new equip							
a. Responsive b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of: a. Project b. Deliverables Additional Comments: (provide on ad Full service facility, from cleaning to read A full service sales and rental of all ty	epairs. Will acc	cept trade-ins o	⊠ ⊠ ⊠ ⊠ on new equip							
a. Responsive b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of: a. Project b. Deliverables Additional Comments: (provide on ad Full service facility, from cleaning to r A full service sales and rental of all ty	epairs. Will acc	cept trade-ins c ent from small	⊠ ⊠ ⊠ ⊠ ⊠ on new equip to large and							
a. Responsive b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of: a. Project b. Deliverables Additional Comments: (provide on ad Full service facility, from cleaning to read A full service sales and rental of all ty	epairs. Will acc pes of equipme	cept trade-ins cent from small	⊠ ⊠ ⊠ ⊠ ⊠ on new equip to large and	everything in between.						



Vendor Reference Verification Form

Broward County Solicitation No. and Titi				FSA16-VEH14.0 - Cab &					
Chassis Trucks and Other Fleet Equipment (Piggyback) Reference for: (Name of Firm) Kelly Tractor Company									
Organization/Firm Name providing reference: St Lucie County Solid Waste									
Contact Name/Title: Robert D. Brown, Single Stream & Maintenance Manager									
Contact Name/Title. Robert D. Brown, Single Stream & Maintenance Manager Contact E-mail: brownr@stlucieco.org									
Contact Phone: (772) 462-1646									
Name of Referenced Project: Landfill C	ompactor								
Contract No. FSA #07-15-0827	opaoto.								
Contract Amount: \$478,705									
Date Services Provided: 2009 - current	t								
(list date ra	nge or date servi	ices began unti	l "current")						
Vendor's role in Project: ⊠ Prime Ver	ndor 🗆 Sub-c	consultant/Sub-	contractor						
Would you use this vendor again? ⊠ Ye	20 100			onal Comments (below).					
Description of services provided by V		, р							
Mr. Brown was asked on July 19, 201 description of services. As of July 20	7 via telephone		rovide comr	nents for the					
Please rate your experience with the referenced Vendor:	Needs Improvement	Satisfactory	Excellent	Not Applicable					
1. Vendor's Quality of Service	•								
₩		П	\boxtimes						
a. Responsive				the state of the s					
a. Responsive b. Accuracy		ī							
b. Accuracy			\boxtimes						
b. Accuracyc. Deliverables									
b. Accuracyc. Deliverables2. Vendor's Organization			\boxtimes						
b. Accuracyc. Deliverables									
b. Accuracyc. Deliverables2. Vendor's Organizationa. Staff expertise									
b. Accuracyc. Deliverables2. Vendor's Organizationa. Staff expertiseb. Professionalism									
b. Accuracyc. Deliverables2. Vendor's Organizationa. Staff expertiseb. Professionalismc. Turnover									
b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of:									
b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of: a. Project	ditional sheet if	needed)							
b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of: a. Project b. Deliverables	ditional sheet if	needed)							
b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of: a. Project b. Deliverables Additional Comments: (provide on additional Comments)	ditional sheet if	needed)							
b. Accuracy c. Deliverables 2. Vendor's Organization a. Staff expertise b. Professionalism c. Turnover 3. Timeliness of: a. Project b. Deliverables	ditional sheet if	needed)							



Vendor Reference Verification Form

Broward County Solicitation No. and Title								
Reference for: (Name of Firm) Kelly Tra	ctor Company	ks and Other Fle	et Equipmer	п (Ріддураск)				
Organization/Firm Name providing reference: Solid Waste Authority of Palm Beach County								
Contact Name/Title: Martin Martinez, Equipment Supervisor								
Contact E-mail: mmartinez@swa.org	darbinoni Gabor	71001						
Contact Phone: (561) 779-0844								
Name of Referenced Project: Landfill Co	ompactor							
Contract No. PO# 90605								
Contract Amount: \$750,781		-						
Date Services Provided: 2009 - current								
(list date rai	nge or date serv	vices began unti	"current")	210 =				
Vendor's role in Project: ☐ Prime Ven	ndor 🗌 Sub-	consultant/Sub-	contractor					
Would you use this vendor again?⊠ Ye	s 🗌 No If	No, please spe	cify in Additio	onal Comments (below).				
Description of services provided by V								
SWA purchases Caterpillar heavy equ								
parts for our fleet of 3x D-7 LGP doze	r, 6x 906 front v	wheeled loader	s, 2x skid lo	aders. 4x 745C 40 ton				
dump trucks,								
Please rate your experience with the	Needs	Satisfactory	Excellent	Not Applicable				
referenced Vendor:	Improvement	Catistactory	Execuent	Not Applicable				
 Vendor's Quality of Service 								
a. Responsive			\bowtie					
b. Accuracy			\boxtimes					
c. Deliverables		\boxtimes						
2. Vendor's Organization								
 a. Staff expertise 			\boxtimes					
b. Professionalism			\boxtimes					
c. Turnover		\boxtimes						
3. Timeliness of:								
a. Project			\boxtimes					
b. Deliverables			\boxtimes					
Additional Comments: (provide on ad	ditional sheet i	f needed)						
816 F Landfill Compactor with compa			natics is the	best in the industry.				
Please feel free to contact me about the	Tilding men men ser men selft men ner sen men se			- 5 to 10 to				
products.								
References Checked By								
References Checked By Name: Marjorie Roberts		Title: CC	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	July 17, 2017				

From:

Boynton, Tanisha

To:

Curry, Sophia

Cc:

Turpin, Jeff; Franquiz, Michael; Seldine, Marcia; Roberts, Marjorie

Subject: Date:

RE: Requisition No. SWR0000309 - Compactor Wednesday, July 05, 2017 2:51:55 PM

Attachments:

image005.png

User Concurrence of Award Form.pdf
Vendor Reference Verification (fillable) Form.pdf

Good Afternoon,

I submitted the PAR to request approval to piggyback off of the FSA contract. I am working on the Agenda Item and need you to complete the attached User Concurrence Form and Three Vendor References for Kelly Tractor Company.

Three references have been completed for this vendor:

			me Vendor Dashboard - KELLY TRACTOR COMPANY				
New Renewal Periodic Extended Columns		Back	LY TRACTOR COMPANY Vendor Performance Evaluation				
roj Contract/Agreement WA Legend: Proj l	Nbr. = Project Number FC	Nbr. = Fixed Con	tract Number OE Nbr: = Open End Contract Number WA N	br:= Work Authorization Number			
roj Contract/Agreement/WA Legend: Proj 1 Export To Excel raj Contract/Agreement/WA	Nbr. = Project Number FC Discrepance		Passuaton Type	br:= Work Authorization Number	Future	Score	
Export To Excel Try Contract/Agreement/WA		Dw .			Future YES	Score 4.80	Viev
Export To Excel	UARSRESIQ.	(Day RVICES	Estitation Type	Approd (t			Viev Viev



Respectfully,

Fanisha Boynton Tanisha Boynton Purchasing Agent - Transit Department **Broward County Purchasing Division** 115 South Andrews Avenue, Room 212

Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Office: (954) 357-9707 Fax: (954) 357-8535

E-Mail: tboynton@broward.org; www.broward.org/purchasing



Customer Care is my priority. How am I doing? Please contact my Supervisor, Marie Williams, at MARIWILLIAMS@broward.org with feedback.

From: Curry, Sophia

Sent: Monday, June 19, 2017 4:59 PM

To: Boynton, Tanisha < TBOYNTON@broward.org>

Cc: Turpin, Jeff <JTURPIN@broward.org>; Franquiz, Michael <mfranquiz@broward.org>; Seldine, Marcia

<MSELDINE@broward.org>; Roberts, Marjorie <MROBERTS@broward.org>

Subject: Requisition No. SWR0000309 - Compactor

Hi Tanisha,

Solid Waste and Recycling Services is requesting to use the Florida Sheriff's Association (FSA) Contract No. 16-VEH14.0 for the purchase of the Landfill Compactor. Please see attached memorandum and supportive documents.

Thank you.



Sophia Curry, CPPB
Procurement Assistant II
Solid Waste and Recycling Services
1 N. University Dr., Suite 400 Plantation, Fl 33324

(954) 474-1882 Fax No. (954) 577-2392

www.broward.org

VENDOR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This form	n must be completed and submitted with the vendor's submittal. Failure to comply will deem vendor hon-responsive.
1.	LEGAL BUSINESS NAME: Kelly Tractor Co
2.	FEDERAL EMPLOYER I.D. NO. (FEIN): 59-0197630
3.	DUN AND BRADSTREET NO.: 006922553
4.	DOING BUSINESS AS/ FICTITIOUS NAME (if applicable): N/A
5.	WEBSITE ADDRESS (if applicable): www.kellytractor.com
6.	PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS ADDRESS: 8255 NW 58th Street, Miami, FL 33166
7.	TELEPHONE NO.: (305) 592-5360 FAX NO.: (305) 477-2024
8.	TYPE OF BUSINESS (check appropriate box):
	Corporation (Specify the State of Incorporation): Florida Sole Proprietor □ Limited Liability Corporation (LLC) □ Limited Partnership General Partnership (State and County filed in) □ Other − Specify □
9.	AUTHORIZED CONTACT FOR YOUR FIRM:
	Name: Greg Bennett Title: Governmental Sales Telephone Number: (305) 592-5360 Fax Number: (305) 477-2024 E-mail: greg_bennett@kellytractor.com
10.	LIST ALL ADDENDA ACKNOWLEDGED:
CONDIT	CUTING THIS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT FORM, VENDOR ACKNOWLEDGES AND ACCEPTS ALL GENERAL SIONS AND SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS. Governmental Sales 7-14-2017 RIZED SIGNATURE/NAME TITLE DATE

^{*} I certify that I am authorized to sign this solicitation response on behalf of the Vendor as indicated in Certificate as to Corporate Principal, designation letter by Director/Corporate Officer, or other business authorization to bind on behalf of the Vendor. As the Vendor's authorized representative, I attest that any and all statements, oral, written or otherwise, made in support of the Vendor's response, are accurate, true and correct. I also acknowledge that inaccurate, untruthful, or incorrect statements made in support of the Vendor's response may be used by the County as a basis for rejection, rescission of the award, or termination of the contract and may also serve as the basis for debarment of Vendor pursuant to Section 21.119 of the Broward County Procurement Code. I certify that the Vendor's response is made without prior understanding, agreement, or connection with any corporation, firm or person submitting a response for the same

items/services, and is in all respects fair and without collusion or fraud. I also certify that the Vendor agrees to abide by all terms and conditions of this solicitation, acknowledge and accept all of the solicitation pages as well as any special instructions sheet(s).

VENDOR QUESTIONNAIRE

The completed Vendor Questionnaire should be submitted with the solicitation response but must be submitted within three business days of County's request. Failure to provide the completed questionnaire timely may result in the Vendor being deemed non-responsive. If a response requires additional information, the Vendor should attach/upload a written detailed response; each response should be numbered to match the question number. The completed questionnaire and attached responses will become part of the procurement record. It is imperative that the person completing the Vendor Questionnaire be knowledgeable about the proposing Vendor's business and operations.

DO IIII	to modgodolo do otrato proposing ventor or a second or	
1.	LIST NAME AND TITLE OF EACH PRINCIPAL, OWNER, OFFICER, AND MAJOR	SHAREHOLDER:
	a) L. Patrick Kelly, President	
	b) Nicholas D. Kelly, Executive Vice President & Secretary	
	C) Bridget G. Duncan, Treasurer	
	d) Dan J. Christofferson, Vice President Product Support	
2.	Specify the type of services or commodities your firm offers:	
	Heavy Equipment Sales, Service, and Rentals	
3.	How many years has your firm been in business while providing the services and/or within this solicitation? 84 years	r products offered
4.	Is your firm's business regularly engaged in and routinely selling the product(s) or services offered within this solicitation?	☑Yes □ No
5.	Does your firm affirm that it is currently authorized by the manufacturer as a dealer/seller of the product(s) offered herein, and warranty offered is the manufacturer's warranty with Broward County recorded as the original purchaser? The County reserves the right to verify prior to a recommendation of award.	☑ Yes □ No □ N/A (if service)
6.	Has your firm ever failed to complete any services and/or delivery of products during the last three (3) years? If yes, specify details in an attached a written response.	□Yes ☑ No
7.	Is your firm or any of its principals or officers currently principals or officers of another organization? If yes, specify details in an attached a written response.	□Yes ☑ No
8.	Have any voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy petitions been filed by or against you firm, its parent or subsidiaries or predecessor organizations during the last three years? If yes, specify details in an attached a written response.	r □Yes ☑ No
9.	Has your firm, its principals, officers or predecessor organization(s) been debarred or suspended by any government entity within the last three years? If yes, specify details in an attached a written response.	□Yes ☑ No
10	Has your firm's surety ever intervened to assist in the completion of a contract or	

	predec	erformance and/or Payment Bond claims been made to your firm or its essor's sureties during the last three years? If yes, specify details in an d a written response, including contact information for owner and surety by.	ΠY	es	☑ No	
	Vendor	Name: Kelly Tractor Co				
11.		sted, will your firm extend the same price, terms and conditions to other mental entities during the period covered by this contract?	ØΥ	es	□ No	
12.	Would y	our firm accept a Visa credit card as payment from Broward County?	ΠY	es	☑ No	
13.	result of purposicontrac Living V	Vage solicitations only: In determining what, if any, fiscal impacts(s) are a of the Ordinance for this solicitation, provide the following for informational es only. Response is not considered in determining the award of this et. Vage had an effect on the pricing. Iving Wage increased the pricing by % or decreased the pricing by %.		es ☑ ١	□ No V/A	
14.	or emplication or emplication of the second or emplication or empl	collusion Certification: Vendor shall disclose, to their best knowledge, any Brochologee, or any relative of any such officer or employee as defined in Section a Statutes, who is an officer or director of, or has a material interest in, the Vestin a position to influence this procurement. Any Broward County officer or exput into the writing of specifications or requirements, solicitation of offers, detailion of offers, or any other activity pertinent to this procurement is presumed in a position to influence this procurement. Failure of a Vendor to disclose are bed herein shall be reason for debarment in accordance with the provisions by Procurement Code.	112.3135 endor's bu employee cision to a d, for purp	(1) usin wh awa ose ship	(c), ness, o has ard, es hereo	f,
	Select	One:				
	Z	Vendor certifies that this offer is made independently and free from collus	ion; or			
		Vendor is disclosing names of officers or employees who have a material procurement and is in a position to influence this procurement. Vendor mame(s), and relationship(s) with its submittal.				
	tain or fur What simi	18 are only applicable to service contracts or a construction contracts (nish and install) solicitations: lar on-going contracts is your firm currently working on? If additional space is n separate sheet.		,		
16.	Has your response		IYes □	No		
17.		firm need to rent or purchase any equipment for this contract? If se specify details in an attached a written response.	lYes □	No		
18.	What equ	ipment does your firm own that is available for this contract?				

Name of Vendor: Kelly Tractor Co

Vendor Name:	Kelly Tractor Co		

Provide at least three (3) individuals, corporations, agencies, or institutions for which your firm has completed work of a similar nature or in which your firm sold similar commodities in the past three (3) years. Contact persons shall have personal knowledge of the referenced project/contract. *Only one*(1) Broward County Board of County Commissioners agency reference may be submitted. If any of the following references are inaccessible or not relevant, additional references may be requested by the County.

any of the following requested by the Co	references are inaccessible or not relevant, additional references may bounty.
Reference No. 1:	
Scope of Work:	Landfill Compactor
Contract/Project Title:	
Agency:	Miami-Dade County
Contact Name/Title:	Tony Abascal, Fleet Maintenance Coordinator
Contact Telephone:	(305) 514-6331 Email: Antonio.Abascal@miamidade.gov
Contract/Project Dates (Month and Year):	2013 - current
Contract Amount:	844,965
Reference No. 2:	•
Scope of Work:	Landfill Compactor
Contract/Project Title:	
Agency:	St Lucie County
Contact Name/Title:	Robert Brown, Fleet Supervisor
Contact Telephone:	(772) 462-1646 Email: brownr@stlucieco.gov
Contract/Project Dates (Month and Year):	2009 - current
Contract Amount:	478,705
Reference No. 3:	
Scope of Work:	Landfill Compactor
Contract/Project Title:	
Agency:	Solid Waste Authority of Palm Beach County
Contact Name/Title:	Martin Martinez, Equipment Maintenance Supervisor
Contact Telephone:	(561) 779-0844 Email: mmartinez@swa.org
Contract/Project Dates (Month and Year):	2009 - current
Contract Amount:	750,781

Litigation History Requirement:

- A. The County will consider a Vendor's litigation history information in its review and determination of responsibility. All Vendors are required to disclose to the County all "material" cases filed or resolved in the three (3) year period ending with the solicitation response due date, whether such cases were brought by or against the Vendor, any parent or subsidiary of the Vendor, or any predecessor organization. If the Vendor is a joint venture, the information provided should encompass the joint venture (if it is not newly-formed for purposes of responding to the solicitation) and each of the entities forming the joint venture.
- B. For each material case, the Vendor is required to provide all information identified, on the Litigation History Form.
- C. For purpose of this disclosure requirement, a "case" includes lawsuits, administrative hearings and arbitrations. A case is considered to be "material" if it relates, in whole or in part, to any of the following:
 - 1. A similar type of work that the Vendor is seeking to perform for the County under the current solicitation;
 - 2. An allegation of negligence, error or omissions, or malpractice against the Vendor or any of its principals or agents who would be performing work under the current solicitation;
 - 3. A Vendor's default, termination, suspension, failure to perform, or improper performance in connection with any contract;
 - 4. The financial condition of the Vendor, including any bankruptcy petition (voluntary and involuntary); or
 - 5. A criminal proceeding or hearing concerning business-related offenses in which the Vendor or its principals (including officers) were/are defendants.
- D. Notwithstanding the descriptions listed in paragraphs 1 5 above, a case is not considered to be "material" if the claims raised in the case involve only garnishment, auto negligence, personal injury, or a proof of claim filed by the Vendor.
- E. A Vendor is also required to disclose to the County any and all case(s) that exist between the County and any of the Vendor's subcontractors/subconsultants proposed to work on this project.
- F. Failure to disclose any material case, or to provide all requested information in connection with each such case, may result in the Vendor being deemed non-responsive. Prior to making such determination, the Vendor will have the ability to clarify the submittal and to explain why an undisclosed case is not material.

LITIGATION HISTORY FORM

The completed and signed form(s) should be returned with the Vendor's submittal. If not provided with submittal, the Vendor must submit within three business days of County's request. Vendor may be deemed non-responsive for failure to fully comply within stated timeframes

There are no material	cases for this Vendor; or
☐ Material Case(s) are o	lisclosed below:
Is this for a: (check type)	If Yes, Name of Parent/Subsidiary/Predecessor:
☐ Predecessor Firm?	or No 🗆
Party	Vendor is Plaintiff □ Vendor is Defendant □
Case Number, Name, and Date Filed	

Name of Court or other tribunal	
Type of Case	Bankruptcy ☐ Civil ☐ Criminal -☐ Administrative/Regulatory ☐
Claim or Cause of Action and Brief description of each Count	
Brief description of the Subject Matter and Project Involved	
Disposition of Case (Attach copy of any applicable Judgment, Settlement Agreement and Satisfaction of Judgment.)	Pending ☐ Settled ☐ Dismissed ☐ Judgment Vendor's Favor ☐ Judgment Against Vendor ☐ If Judgment Against, is Judgment Satisfied? Yes ☐ No ☐
Opposing Counsel	Name: Email: Telephone Number:
Vendor Name: Kelly Tracto	or Co

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA RENEWAL COMMODITY EVALUATION

OF PRIME VENDOR - KELLY TRACTOR COMPANY RATING PERIOD FROM 6/17/2015 TO 6/17/2015

	OPEN END COM	NTRACT - COMMODITY	
Agreement Nbr / Title K1214709Q1 / MAINTENA	NCE & REPAIR CATERPILLA	R EQUIPMENT	
Not To Exceed Amount	Used Amo	ount	······································
\$215,145.00	\$151,867.	.43	
	EVALUA	TION SUMMARY	
KELLY TRACTOR COMPA	NY IS RECOMMENDED For F	uture Contracts Remarks:	Numerical Score 4.80
Overall Rating	EXCELLENT	ili e a managa di manta dala da da da da da managa di managa da	. 2,6 at a 1 at 1 at 2 at 2 at 2 at 2
Unsatisfactory (1.0 - 1.8) Poor (1.	81 - 2.59) Fair (2.60 - 3.19) Good (3.	.20 - 4.49) Excellent (4.50 - 5.00)	
	COUNTY CON	ITACT INFORMATION	
Overseeing Division FLEET SERVICES			
Contract Administrator		Email:	
Ross Mezlin			
	APPROVE	ED EVALUATION	
Rated By		Reviewed By	
MICHEAL FRANQUIZ		LOCKSLEY RHODEN	

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA RENEWAL COMMODITY EVALUATION

OF PRIME VENDOR - KELLY TRACTOR COMPANY RATING PERIOD FROM 6/17/2015 TO 6/17/2015

A) Quality	Section Score: 4.88
Evaluation Question	Rating
How responsive was the vendor to notification of an unauthorized substitution?	5 - Excellent
2. How close did the delivered product(s) or software perform to expectations?	5 - Excellent
3. How accurate and timely were any necessary repairs or reconfigurations?	5 - Excellent
4. How timely were necessary parts or software made available?	5 - Excellent
5. How appropriate were any vendor recommended repairs or upgrades?	5 - Excellent
6. How efficient and timely were product/software installations and training completed?	5 - Excellent
7. How complete and timely did the vendor submit warranties, manuals, etc.?	5 - Excellent
8. How well did the vendor performance meet agreement expectations?	4 - Good
B) Quantity	Section Score: 4.67
B) Quantity Evaluation Question	Section Score: 4.67 Rating
	在200 年的日本中的中华的中华的中华的中华的中华的中华的中华的中华的中华的中华的中华的中华的中华
Evaluation Question	Rating
Evaluation Question 1. How well did the amount of product shipped match the amount ordered?	Rating 5 - Excellent
Evaluation Question 1. How well did the amount of product shipped match the amount ordered? 2. How fast were partial deliveries or non-deliveries satisfied?	Rating 5 - Excellent 4 - Good 4 - Good
Evaluation Question 1. How well did the amount of product shipped match the amount ordered? 2. How fast were partial deliveries or non-deliveries satisfied? 3. If there were backorders, how accurate where estimates of delivery times?	Rating 5 - Excellent 4 - Good 4 - Good
Evaluation Question 1. How well did the amount of product shipped match the amount ordered? 2. How fast were partial deliveries or non-deliveries satisfied? 3. If there were backorders, how accurate where estimates of delivery times? 4. How accurate was the weight of the item received as compared to the weight listed on the invoice.	Rating 5 - Excellent 4 - Good 4 - Good ce? 5 - Excellent
Evaluation Question 1. How well did the amount of product shipped match the amount ordered? 2. How fast were partial deliveries or non-deliveries satisfied? 3. If there were backorders, how accurate where estimates of delivery times? 4. How accurate was the weight of the item received as compared to the weight listed on the invoice. 5. How accurate was the paperwork in the shipment?	Rating 5 - Excellent 4 - Good 4 - Good ce? 5 - Excellent 5 - Excellent

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA RENEWAL COMMODITY EVALUATION

OF PRIME VENDOR - KELLY TRACTOR COMPANY RATING PERIOD FROM 6/17/2015 TO 6/17/2015

C) Delivery	Section Score: 4.73
Evaluation Question	Rating
1. How timely was the complete order received, based on industry standards?	5 - Excellent
2. How well was the shipment protected against damage or loss?	5 - Excellent
3. How responsive was the vendor to notice of damaged goods?	5 - Excellent
4. How proactive was the vendor response to replacing damaged goods?	5 - Excellent
5. How cooperative was the vendor in making the delivery at a satisfactory time?	4 - Good
6. How accurate were the prices quoted to the invoiced price?	4 - Good
7. How accurate were the documents provided at closeout, e.g. packing slips, invoices, technical manuals, etc. regarding the correct material codes and pruchase order numbers?	4 - Good
8. How accurate was the method of delivery?	5 - Excellent
9. How correct was the delivery location?	N/A
10. How visible were the required inspection stamps?	5 - Excellent
11. How well did the vendor manage delivery of the product and/or service?	5 - Excellent
12. How accurately were close-out procedures followed?	5 - Excellent
Comments:	
D) Customer Service	Section Score: 5.00
Evaluation Question	Rating
1. How knowledgeable was the vendor regarding the requested product and/or service?	5 - Excellent
2. How timely were requests for information, proposals and quotes answered?	5 - Excellent
3. How prompt were County staff communications returned or responded to?	5 - Excellent
4. How proactive was the vendor in addressing County staff problems or concerns regarding the product or service?	5 - Excellent
5. How courteous/professional was the vendor in dealing with the County, Sub-vendors, County Tenants/Customers?	5 - Excellent
6. How sensitive/responsive was the vendor to working around County operationaal needs?	5 - Excellent
7. How consistent and clear were the vendor communications with County staff?	5 - Excellent
Comments:	

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA RENEWAL COMMODITY EVALUATION

OF PRIME VENDOR - KELLY TRACTOR COMPANY RATING PERIOD FROM 6/17/2015 TO 6/17/2015

E) Support	Section Score: 4.57
Evaluation Question	Rating
1. How close was the level of vendor technical expertise to what was needed to support the procesorvice?	duct or N/A
2. How appropriate was the contact information provided by the vendor for questions?	N/A
3. How well did the vendor respond to additional questions regarding the product or service?	4 - Good
4. How timely was the vendor response compared to the contract requirements?	4 - Good
5. How clear was the vendor information regarding the warranty or replacement policy?	5 - Excellent
6. How well did the vendor respond to warranty service requests?	4 - Good
7. How timely were repairs completed?	5 - Excellent
8. How well did the vendor coordinate any sub vendors?	5 - Excellent
o. How well did the vehicle coordinate any sub vehicles:	
9. How responsive and competent were vendor representatives? Comments:	5 - Excellent
How responsive and competent were vendor representatives?	5 - Excellent Section Score: 5.00
9. How responsive and competent were vendor representatives? Comments: F) Emergency Procurement	
9. How responsive and competent were vendor representatives? Comments:	Section Score: 5.00
9. How responsive and competent were vendor representatives? Comments: F) Emergency Procurement Evaluation Question	Section Score: 5.00 Rating 5 - Excellent
9. How responsive and competent were vendor representatives? Comments: F) Emergency Procurement Evaluation Question 1. How responsive was the vendor to providing after hours contact information? 2. How clear was the vendor regarding the local warehousing and availability of products in the efform and a emergency? 3. How proactive was the vendor in providing emergency support for repair or replacement of a feature of the effective support for repair or replacement of a feature of the effective support for repair or replacement of a feature of the effective support for repair or replacement of a feature of the effective support for repair or replacement of a feature of the effective support for repair or replacement of a feature of the effective support for repair or replacement of a feature of the effective support for repair or replacement of a feature of the effective support for repair or replacement of a feature of the effective support for repair or replacement of a feature of the effective support for repair or replacement of a feature of the effective support for repair or replacement of a feature of the effective support for repair or replacement of a feature of the effective support for repair or replacement of a feature of the effective support for repair or replacement of the effective support for repair or repair or repair or replacement of the effective support for repair or	Section Score: 5.00 Rating 5 - Excellent event 5 - Excellent
9. How responsive and competent were vendor representatives? Comments: F) Emergency Procurement Evaluation Question 1. How responsive was the vendor to providing after hours contact information? 2. How clear was the vendor regarding the local warehousing and availability of products in the effort an emergency? 3. How proactive was the vendor in providing emergency support for repair or replacement of a for unusable commodity?	Section Score: 5.00 Rating 5 - Excellent event 5 - Excellent
9. How responsive and competent were vendor representatives? Comments: F) Emergency Procurement Evaluation Question 1. How responsive was the vendor to providing after hours contact information? 2. How clear was the vendor regarding the local warehousing and availability of products in the example of the contact information.	Section Score: 5.00 Rating 5 - Excellent event 5 - Excellent failed 5 - Excellent
9. How responsive and competent were vendor representatives? Comments: F) Emergency Procurement Evaluation Question 1. How responsive was the vendor to providing after hours contact information? 2. How clear was the vendor regarding the local warehousing and availability of products in the of an emergency? 3. How proactive was the vendor in providing emergency support for repair or replacement of a for unusable commodity? 4. How accessible was the vendor before, during and after the emergency?	Section Score: 5.00 Rating 5 - Excellent event 5 - Excellent failed 5 - Excellent 5 - Excellent 5 - Excellent 5 - Excellent