

**Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP NO. Z1434304P1
Terminal 4 Checked Baggage Inspection System**

May 16, 2017

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC302, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

| Firm Name | Jorge Hernandez | Trevor Fisher | Marc Gambrill | Jack Shim | Arethia Douglas | Total | Ranking |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company | 85.00 | 94.00 | 92.00 | 88.00 | 90.00 | 449.00 | 1 |
| The Weitz Company, LLC | 73.92 | 63.92 | 77.92 | 87.92 | 79.92 | 383.60 | 2 |

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA

1. Vendor located within Broward County as set forth in Subsection 21.31.c.
2. Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.
3. Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work, calculated by payments to vendor, by County over a five (5) year period from the date of the submittal.
4. A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.
5. Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.


PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's

For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a non-local business is the highest ranked proposer and the ranking of a local proposer is within five percent (5%) of the ranking obtained by the non-local proposer, the highest-ranked local proposer shall have the opportunity to proceed to negotiations with the county.

Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP NO. Z1434304P1
Terminal 4 Checked Baggage Inspection System

May 16, 2017

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC302, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

| Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately) | Maximum Points | The Weitz Company, LLC | The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL Total Max 35 Points | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| PROJECT APPROACH | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a (part 1) How the minimum requirements of the Design Criteria Package..... | 15 | 10 | 14 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a (part 2) How existing utilities will be addressed..... | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b | 15 | 13 | 15 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c | 7 | 7 | 4 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| PROJECT SCHEDULE AND PROPOSED TIME | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b COMPLETION TIME - | 15 | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| PRICE | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 4 | 10 | | |
| WORKLOAD OF FIRM | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 5 | 10 | 8 | 10 |
| LOCATION | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING |
| TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING | Max 100 One Hundred | | |
| Arethia Douglas | | | |
|  | | | |
| Signature of EC Member | | | |

Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP NO. Z1434304P1
Terminal 4 Checked Baggage Inspection System


May 16, 2017

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC302, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

| Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately) | Maximum Points | The Weitz Company, LLC | The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL Total Max 35 Points | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 1 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| PROJECT APPROACH | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a (part 1) How the minimum requirements of the Design Criteria Package..... | 15 | 10 | 15 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a (part 2) How existing utilities will be addressed..... | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b | 15 | 9 | 15 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c | 7 | 4 | 7 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| PROJECT SCHEDULE AND PROPOSED TIME | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a | 7 | 4 | 7 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b COMPLETION TIME - | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| | 15 | | |
| PRICE | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 4 | 10 | 4 | 9 |
| WORKLOAD OF FIRM | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 5 | 10 | 6 | 9 |
| LOCATION | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING |
| TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING | Max 100 One Hundred | | |
| Trevor Fisher | | | |
| Signature of EC Member | | 5/16/17 | |

Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP NO. Z1434304P1
Terminal 4 Checked Baggage Inspection System
May 16, 2017

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC302, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

| Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately) | Maximum Points | The Weitz Company, LLC | The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL Total Max 35 Points | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 1 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| PROJECT APPROACH | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a (part 1) How the minimum requirements of the Design Criteria Package..... | 15 | 11 | 14 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a (part 2) How existing utilities will be addressed..... | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b | 15 | 12 | 14 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c | 7 | 5 | 7 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| PROJECT SCHEDULE AND PROPOSED TIME | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a | 7 | 5 | 7 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b COMPLETION TIME - | 15 | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| PRICE | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 4 | 10 | | |
| WORKLOAD OF FIRM | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 5 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| LOCATION | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING |
| TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING | Max 100 One Hundred | | |
| Marc Gambrill | | | |
|  5/16/17 | | | |
| Signature of EC Members | | | |

Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP NO. Z1434304P1
Terminal 4 Checked Baggage Inspection System

May 16, 2017


115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC302, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

| Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately) | Maximum Points | The Weitz Company, LLC | The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum 35 Points) | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 1 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| PROJECT APPROACH | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a (part 1) How the minimum requirements of the Design Criteria Package..... | 15 | 13 | 14 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a (part 2) How existing utilities will be addressed..... | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b | 15 | 11 | 13 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c | 7 | 5 | 6 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| PROJECT SCHEDULE AND PROPOSED TIME | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b COMPLETION TIME - | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| | 15 | | |
| PRICE | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 4 | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| | 10 | | |
| WORKLOAD OF FIRM | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 5 | 10 | 7 | 9 |
| LOCATION | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING |
| TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING | Max 100 One Hundred | | |
| Jorge Hernandez | | | |
| Signature of EC Members | | | |

Scoring Sheet
Final Evaluation Meeting
RFP NO. Z1434304P1
Terminal 4 Checked Baggage Inspection System

May 16, 2017

115 S. Andrews Avenue, Room GC302, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301

| Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately) | Maximum Points | The Weitz Company, LLC | The Whiting-Turner Contracting Company |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL Total Max 35 Points | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 1 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| PROJECT APPROACH | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a (part 1) How the minimum requirements of the Design Criteria Package..... | 15 | 15 | 13 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a (part 2) How existing utilities will be addressed..... | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b | 15 | 15 | 13 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c | 7 | 7 | 6 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| PROJECT SCHEDULE AND PROPOSED TIME | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b COMPLETION TIME - | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| | 15 | | |
| PRICE | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 4 | 10 | | |
| WORKLOAD OF FIRM | | | |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 5 | 10 | 8 | 10 |
| LOCATION | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 6 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| VOLUME OF PREVIOUS WORK | | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING | POINTS ENTERED BY PURCHASING |
| See Evaluation Criteria - question 7 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING | TOTAL SCORE CALCULATED BY PURCHASING |
| TOTAL SCORE WILL BE ENTERED BY PURCHASING | Max 100 One Hundred | | |
| Jack Shim | | | |
|  | | | |
| Signature of EC Member | | | |